

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,542	10/054,542 01/22/2002		Timothy Wayne Crockett	RPS920000103US2	7178
25299	7590	06/30/2005		EXAMINER	
IBM CO	RPORAT	ΓΙΟΝ	TRINH, MINH N		
PO BOX	12195				<u>_</u>
DEPT YX	SA, BLD	G 002	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709				3729	-
				DATE MAIL ED. 06/20/200	£

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comment	10/054,542	CROCKETT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Minh Trinh	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	pril 2005.	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 21-29,41 and 42 is/are pending in the	e application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>41 and 42</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27</u> is/are allowed.	Claim(s) 27 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-26,28 and 29</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) 21-26,28 and 29 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	and all condenses of the one of 440/a						
<u> </u>	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document		ion No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Burea	•	ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	аселс Аррисавоп (РТО-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/054,542 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed on 4/19/05 has been fully considered and made of record.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 41-42 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: That the scope of the newly claims 41-42 directed to a method for reducing impedance of a transmission line in a multi-layer PCB versus a method for reducing impedance within a reference path in a PCB of the originally claimed. Further, the inventions also related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the step of forming a first and second via. The subcombination has separate utility by itself or in other combinations such as for reducing impedance of a transmission line in a multi layer PCB, etc.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 41-42 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Art Unit: 3729

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 21-26, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwong et al in view of Takagi et al. This rejection is set forth in prior Office Action, paragraph 5, dated 12/29/04.

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 27 is allowed.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 4/19/05 based on rejected claims 21-26 and 28-29 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as followed reasons.

Applicant argues that the reference to Kwong is lacking of the teaching where the first via is part of a signal path that carrier a signal from the layer to the second layer . . . to a forth layer, etc., (see "Remarks", page 6, 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph). The examiner disagrees because the Kwong reference does teach the forming PCB as recited in the claim and the configuration of a signal path associated with the circuit board (Applicant is referred to the discussion at col. 4, lines 10-44, and Fig. 1 of Kwong, depicts a number of circuit layers 18's and vias that including a signal path (as discussed at col. 4, lines 25-28) for carrying signal from the first to the second layer and so forth, etc.

Further, applicant argues that no motivation to combine the teaching of the prior art references. In response to this, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only

be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, each of the reference is on the same field of the present invention and each does teach the forming multilayer PCB having vias and signal path versus reference path and the embedded component for benefit of reducing of space requirement of the substrate.

For all reasons above, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive and are not clearly point out the patentable novelty which they thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the rejections made. Therefore, the prior art rejection is maintained for same reasons of the record.

This application contains claims 41-42 drawn to an invention nonelected. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

## **Interviews After Final**

7. Applicant notes that an interview after a final rejection will not be granted unless the intended purpose and content of the interview in presented briefly, in writing (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Such an interview may be granted if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or

Application/Control Number: 10/054,542 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

to discuss new limitations which would require more than nominal reconsideration or new search will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

### Conclusion

- 8. It is noted that any amendment made to the disclosure and the claims. Applicant requires to point out the support provide numeral references to the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure (i.e., page and line numbers and reference number associated with from the drawings) for better clarity (See 37CFR 1.111 and section 2163.06 of the MPEP).
- 9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,542

Art Unit: 3729

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mt 6/23/05

M. Trinh

Primary Examiner Group 3729

Page 6